



Victoria University Students' Administrative Council

150 Charles St. West, Room 127

(416)585-4473

www.vusac.ca | fb.com/vusacuoft

VUSAC Meeting Minutes

Call to order: 5:30 PM, March 19th 2021

Land Acknowledgement: Leila Tjiang

Leila: We wish to acknowledge this land on which the University of Toronto operates. For thousands of years, it has been the traditional land of the Huron-Wendat, the Seneca, and most recently, the Mississaugas of the Credit River. Today, this meeting place is still the home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island and we are grateful to have the opportunity to work on this land.

Official Regrets: Lulu Karra

Approval of minutes: *Motion by Kate to approve the minutes from March 5th, seconded by Nerija.*

Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Additions to the agenda:

- Aminah with a BoR update for 3 minutes

Approval of the agenda: *Motion by Alexandra to approve the agenda for March 19th, seconded by Kate.* *Motion passes.*

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Meeting link: <https://utoronto.zoom.us/j/97176254249>

Meeting ID: 971 7625 4249

Meeting Procedures Infographic

VUSAC's Guide to Robert's Rules

EX-OFFICIO REPORTS

President of Victoria University:

Dean's Office:

Board of Regents:

Aminah: The upcoming Board of Regents meeting is on Thursday April 8th and I will send you the email to RSVP to attend if you want to! The second draft of the President's strategic plan has been posted on the website and I think it is important to take a look at that and submit any comments to the President. When you are advocating, it is so helpful to point to a document or a promise that a

person has made, so if there's anything that you're concerned about, please make sure this document is representing students as best as possible.

Victoria College Council:

Victoria University Senate:

Campus Life Coordinator (Alero): 10 minutes

Alero: Transition mentor applications close on Monday night so if you're looking to get involved with orientation, now is your time. Leader hiring is also happening this weekend. We are finishing up a lot of our workshops in the Dean's Office right now and Crescam invites are going out next week. Crescams are happening on April 5th this year so please come to that. Some of you have been reaching out to me with changes that you want to see on campus, and I want to thank you for doing that. Please email me if you have any feedback on campus and campus life that you would like to see incorporated into more sustained policy.

ACTION ITEMS:

Motion by Leila Tjiang to ratify the Sustainability Policy, seconded by Mehr (5 minutes). Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Leila: This is the Sustainability Policy that we presented to you two weeks ago. If you have any edits or recommendations for the document, now is the time!

Motion by Jay Muoio to ratify the Proposed Amendment to the Student Projects Operating Policy, seconded by Jenny (4 minutes) [Appendix A]. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Jay: We looked at the amendments last meeting and these are changes that the advisory board makes every year. You can read through the amendments on your own, but we also went through them last meeting. I chair the SPF Advisory Board which is why I'm bringing this forward!

Motion by Michelle Zhao to approve the proposed amendments to the Council Policies on Executive Honorarium, seconded by Alexandra. Motion passes.

- Favour: majority
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: Dorian

Alexander: I am in full support of this, but I have two questions. How was the value of the honorarium determined and now that there has been an increase in the number of students on VUSAC who receive honorarium, are there suggestions that you would make to your successors to include compensation for more roles?

Michelle: Yes, there is a plan to have more positions on VUSAC be compensated but we wanted to start slowly with the exec roles and then expand the honoraria further on council, although we can't make any guarantees since this is up to future councils. \$1000 for the honoraria was the amount that was suggested last year, and we chose this because this is the amount that levy heads currently receive. Although we hope that eventually we can increase this amount in the future. I also wanted to summarize the proposal; it is a council policies amendment to add an honorarium to the 3 VPs on council and release has to be approved by a majority vote on council. Furthermore, the VPs have to submit a transition report in order to receive their honorarium. Payment methods are laid out in the council policies amendment!

Motion by Nerija Gray to increase the budget line 4 (Website) from \$144 to \$250, seconded by Kate (5 minutes). Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Nerija: This is because I don't know how to convert USD to CAD, and I don't know how to do math. I didn't calculate the tax or the conversation rate. I also rounded up to \$250 so that I don't have to do this again in case I miscalculated.

Motion by Tran Thai to reallocate \$300 from the reserve funds to a new budget line titled "Shipping VUSAC Merch", seconded by Alexandra. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Tran: We have recently opened up our merch reselling and we need to ship everything out. We currently have around 15-20 orders.

Alexander: Can you give a breakdown of how these costs are calculated?

Tran: Assuming that everything is shipped domestically, then that usually costs around \$225.

Holly: We've only had domestic shipping so far and we've only received 15 orders, so \$300 checks out in my opinion. Also, if we need to increase the budget for this in the future, we can bring it back to council to do so.

Motion by Hannah Ahamed to approve the SPF Decision for the Board Games Club Application [Appendix B], seconded by Leila. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Hannah: We already brought this up in first semester but because of shipping complications, we've had to reallocate some funds which means that we've needed to bring it back to council.

Motion by Hannah Ahamed to approve the SPF Decision for the Cats Eye Band Application [Appendix C], seconded by Alexandra. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Hannah: This has been quite a long process. It was brought forward by Alex and if they want to bring it up, they can.

Alexander: I would like to say thank you to Hannah for helping us get this office the ground. We requested a drum kit for the Cat's Eye because this is highly requested item for booking shows. It usually takes around 2-3 months to order one of these, so we wanted to do it now so that it's in place by the fall semester.

Motion by Hannah Ahamed to approve the SPF Decision for VOCA Application [Appendix D], seconded by Alexandra. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Hannah: VOCA brought our attention to a really cool project that would distribute commuter-designed stickers to Vic College students. They are hoping to buy 250 stickers as a starting point.

Motion by Hannah Ahamed to approve the SPF Decision for the VOCA Commuter Kitchen Application [Appendix E], seconded by Leila. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Hannah: Aya brought this forward, and it was just including some additions to the commuter kitchen. If Aya wants to talk more about this she can!

Motion by Faye Tan to approve changes to the Winter 2021 budget [Appendix F], seconded by Kate. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Faye: Arts and Culture Commission would like to reallocate some of the lines in their budget to include payment for tickets and a few other events in the future. Mental Wellness would like to reallocate money for stickers and packaging stickers to balance out fees. Sustainability would like to reallocate money for an event that has been cancelled. Caffiends is hosting a social in conjunction with Sustainability and they are going to split the cost of the event. The Cat's Eye would like to decrease some of their budget lines and reallocate lines to help with sales, instrument and tech repairs, printing costs, events, merchandising, and miscellaneous purchases.

Motion by Tran to ratify the Constitutional Amendments, seconded by Kate (5 minutes). Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none
-

Zoe: The amendments are the same as what was brought up last week so if you have any questions, you can look at the appendix or last week's minutes.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Amendments to Council Policies, put forth by Leila Tjiang (10 minutes) [Appendix G]

Leila: I put this out to members of council about a week ago, but you can find the relevant info in appendix G which also includes the most significant changes that we made. We changed the bulleting format so that it's easier to convert to Google Docs. There were a bunch of sections that were rearranged including numbering, but nothing changed substantially. We did a bunch of joint meetings with the Constitution review group to make sure that the documents weren't overlapping. We also tried to remove any specific references to chapter and section number because these often change. Some of the more specific changes that we made were included in the doc and we also included some recommendations for next year's council in terms of tidying things up and making the document more readable. There is still a fair bit of overlap with Council Policies and the Constitution but that will be worked out over time. The amendments we just made about executive honoraria will be included in the document later.

Jay: Huge congrats to Leila for pulling this all together. Section 2 of the Bob Co-Producer, there are two lines that essentially state the same thing. I would recommend compiling these two.

Leila: What page is that?

Jay: 115. D and F are the overlapping lines. I don't think F was supposed to be there in the first place.

Leila: Sweet, they're just copying errors; I'll delete those now. Thank you!

Possible revisions of Club Executive requirements based on feedback from the All-Clubs Meeting, put forth by Jay Muoio [Appendix H] (10 minutes)

Jay: The All-Clubs meeting just happened which is just a meeting of Vic's club heads and members that happens every year. You can read an excerpt from the meeting minutes in the appendix. One of the concerns that came up at the meeting was that clubs find it difficult and inaccessible to exercise the 70% Vic student requirement on their exec team. It is a really difficult thing to work around especially with elections. It's also an issue with hiring and it might be hard for some clubs to keep their status based on the fact that their exec is less than 70% Vic. The idea behind this requirement is to keep Vic clubs mainly benefitting Vic students because they are funded by Vic, but there could be better and more lenient ways to implement this rule. For example, there are

some clubs with only 3 exec and 2 of them are Vic students meaning that don't meet 70% rule and could be at risk of getting shut down.

Mehr: I was wondering how the 70% number was decided? I understand the need to maybe have a rounding rule in place at the discretion of the VPSO. I think it might be a good idea to centralize the clubs available under ULife or UTSU but I know that their club registration policy is more stringent than ours is so that might be difficult.

Jay: I don't know how the 70% rule was implemented and I'm guessing that it was just an arbitrary number that they settled on. I think there is a larger conversation to be had here about the inaccessibility of ULife and UTSU club status, which causes less Vic-oriented clubs to apply to Vic when they would be more suited to being registered under those more central organizations, but it's not in our power unfortunately. It's great that Vic is so accessible for clubs, but I also want to make sure that Vic students are benefitting from Vic clubs. I also don't like the idea of forgiveness of the 70% rule being at the discretion of the VPSO just because I think it would be difficult and might present an equity problem.

Mehr: I agree with you on the discretion notion and I definitely think that there should be a larger conversation happening here.

Alexander: Are there examples of students being turned away from this rule? Is this actually a serious concern for students who are discouraged to get involved with clubs?

Jay: For levies it's an 80% Vic student requirement and most levies just automatically only hire Victoria College students anyways, but clubs have a 70% requirement, and they tend to have a wider participation base. I know that a lot of clubs have had to turn away students because they aren't Victoria College students and some of them have had challenges filling their exec team with 70% Vic students. I've personally had to send a lot of emails to clubs telling them to hire more Vic students and so it's something that I've seen a lot.

Motion by Nerja to extend the discussion by 10 minutes, seconded by Kate. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Jenny: If you are a Vic club are you still allowed to be a UTSU or ULife club or are you still allowed to get funding outside of what Vic provides?

Jay: Yes, you can as long as there is no conflicting policy and that they report funding that they're getting on their budgets.

Jenny: I don't really see a problem with having a Vic club with fewer than 70% Victoria College students on their exec team. I think we should impose a rule where if clubs want to have a certain budget request, they need to have 70% Vic students on their exec team. If they're just wanting to be a Vic club so that they can book Vic spaces, then I think we need to be sure where our money is going.

Rheannon: Just because a group is booking a Vic space doesn't mean it's an event for the Vic Community. To Alex's point, there were at least a few groups who weren't able to get their clubs certified for the year because they didn't have enough Vic participation. Since these exec teams are so small, I think it would be better to change the percentage to 50% Vic students.

Alexandra: I think 70% is definitely too high and I think maybe 50% or 51% would be a good idea. I know so many people in Vic clubs who aren't Vic students but still contribute a lot to the Vic community.

Jay: I think the budget threshold is a good idea but there are lots of other perks that clubs get from being involved with Vic that aren't related to budget. I think a threshold for overall Vic privileges is good and I don't think the 50% rule should apply to all clubs but maybe just clubs who have an exec team that's smaller than 2 or 3 students.

Alexandra: I think that makes sense.

Jay: There was also a suggestion to make a set ratio of Vic students for every number of exec members on a team. So like if there are 3 members on a team, you'd have 2 members or 4 Vic students on exec team of 6, stuff like that. I'm mainly just brainstorming this now so that next year's VPSO can bring this up to council next year.

Imani: I think a lot of clubs are having issues marketing their clubs right now with COVID so when VUSAC thinks about this policy, I think that they should consider how Vic involvement has decreased over the last year.

Jay: Thank you all for giving me thoughts about this! It will be going into my transition report!

Motion by Tran to recess for 5 minutes, seconded by Nerija. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Proposed Amendments to the Cat's Eye Constitution, put forth by Alexander Bogatch [Appendix I] (20 minutes)

Alexander: The Cat's Eye has rewritten our entire operating policy and constitution. The last time our constitution was updated was in 2016 and the organization of the document was really messy. A lot of the changes that we made were grammar and organization updates, but some were more substantial. I'd also like to add that this went through our advisory board on March 4th. One of the big changes that we made this year was that we are proposing to expand our exec team. We added two positions, the Finance and Promotions director and the Subcommittee Liaison. We've been wanting to add these positions in the past to help delegate some of the work that the Cat's Eye is doing. We are also assigning an honorarium to all of our new exec members. This will raise our honorarium budget which was included in our last budget. The operating policy now lays out all of our past exec positions as well as the two new positions we added this year. We're hoping that we can pilot these changes next year and if they don't work, they can be undone. We've also

increased the number of executive members on The Cat's Eye Advisory Board since we now have more exec members and we have increased quorum too to account for that. We are also going to stagger our co-manager hiring but all of our execs will be hired at once. Subcommittee is the staff that run the Cat's Eye and our renovations have meant that we want to have one patrolling staff member to make sure that our stuff is being protected and another sitting behind the booth. Our Events Facilitation Protocol is mainly just copy-pasted, but we no longer accept payment. We also just want to make sure that the space is equally shared between students who want to use the space to study and those who want to host events. We also added a protocol for how management, staff and subcommittee should work. Also, we added a dismissal clause just to make sure that we have that in our constitution.

Jay: I remember during the Advisory Board Meeting we said that no more than four Cat's Eye members could count towards quorum, not that they would be barred from being present entirely, or has this changed?

Alexander: We don't mean that you're present during quorum, just that no more than 4 members of the voting council should be Cat's Eye members. You can have 6 members of the Cat's Eye present but no more than 4 can count towards the vote.

Jay: I think it should read that no more than 4 members of the Cat's Eye should count towards the quorum requirement.

Michelle: It looks like there are 6 members of the Cat's Eye who sit on the Advisory Board, so I'm wondering which 4 are going to be the voting members?

Jay: I'm pretty sure that it's just about reaching quorum. Everyone still has voting rights.

Alexander: The Subcommittee and the Exec members are chosen at the discretion of the VPSO, and it would be up to them to deal with who votes.

Jay: I'm confused, I didn't think that this would affect attendance or voting on the Advisory Board.

Michelle: I think I misread the document, but I think it should be amended to include that it's for quorum and the not meeting requirements. I think removing the brackets section would make it clearer.

Jay: I also think that removing the voting rights brackets that you have there currently would make more sense.

Leila: I was wondering if you guys had any intentions to implement any sustainability standards to events that take place in the space, especially considering the sustainability policy we just ratified.

Alexander: We have had conversations on how to increase sustainability in the Cat's Eye. I think we still do have a lot of work to do regarding sustainability. Do you have any suggestions of things to add?

Leila: I think this might just be something that happens next year and requires further conversation.

Motion by Tran to extend the discussion for 10 minutes, seconded by Nerija. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Rheannon: I think a big bulk of the waste generated by the Cat's Eye is from plates and cutlery and all that. I remember hearing that Toronto can't actually compost compostable utensils so I think it might be a good idea to consult with Ned's to get reusable plates instead of discarding more waste. I remember hearing that releasing the honoraria for co-managers in the case of their dismissal it would be brought up to the advisory board and I wanted to know if it was going to be included?

Jay: From being on VOCA last year, I think another issue is the fact that students overlook the compost bin and just throw all waste into the larger garbage bin.

Alexander: The release of an honoraria to a dismissed member is at the discretion of the Advisory Board, is that what you want me to include?

Rheannon: Yes, it is. We had also discussed how in the case of dismissal of a Subcomm members are at the discretion of the co-manager and if the co-manager is dismissed then the release of honoraria is at the discretion of the advisory board.

Alexander: We will consult amongst ourselves what our exec number ratio is. The Cat's Eye Subcomm is 35 people, and we have huge student demand, if the 80% requirement isn't feasible in future years, we can address that.

VUSAC's response to the President's Strategic Plan, put forth by Leila Tjiang (10 minutes)

Leila: As Aminah mentioned earlier, President Robbins released the draft of his 5-year plan recently, and I wanted to see if there was a way that council wanted to generate some critiques that we would want to submit to him. The office is accepting feedback on this for the next two weeks. If we wanted to draft a statement about this then that would have to be an email vote or a more informal meeting where we could draft up a short 1-page route. I know that we could also convey that information through Michelle's 1 on 1 meetings with him, but I wasn't sure what the timeline was on that.

Jerico: There are lots of relevant topics in the report so please take a look at it if you haven't already.

Tran: it seems as though a lot of what's mentioned in this document is lacking tangible action which is important. I think that we should make a VUSAC statement on this for sure and I think putting this up on our social media to get input from the VCU would be important.

Leila: That makes sense. There are lots of gaps in accountability and stuff like that. This is really not a long document so please give it a look over. We were also thinking of putting together an email template where you could fill-in-the-blanks for feedback that you want to see incorporated in the document. If we could collect feedback from the student body and aggregate it into our response or encourage students to provide feedback themselves, please let us know.

Michelle: Thank you both for bringing this up! If we can do anything to make submitting feedback more accessible that would be awesome. What would you guys think about having drop-in consultations sessions with the VCU and then I could bring it up to President Robbins the next time that I meet with him. I know that the plan is really broad, but I already know that the response will be that this is a framework and not a concrete plan.

Mehr: I was wondering if we could put together a really brief survey and then we could aggregate some of the responses into a targeted response. I know that I missed it in my student inbox so I think that we should be advertising this more broadly. I also know that there's a Dean Advisory Meeting next week, so I know that's on the agenda for next week too. I think encouraging more communication between the President and the students would be great.

Motion by Leila to extend the discussion for 10 minutes, seconded by Alexandra. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Nerija: In terms of making it more accessible to give feedback, I think a survey is a bit of an annoying process to go through. I'm thinking that it might be interesting to go through Instagram polls and we might have a higher response rate if we went through that route.

Jerico: I think that this should be treated like a mission statement and it needs to address more of what students face and I think that one of the number one thing that we should request from the President would be a promise of upholding the framework he put forward in his report.

Leila: I just wanted to say thank you to everyone for helping us out with this! If you're interested in participating, you can just email me!

Proposed amendments from the budget steering committee, put forth by Faye Tan [Appendix J]

Faye: Some of the more trivial changes are just embedding links into the document and listing specific dates for cheque requisitions. The more substantial changes that we are making to this document is concerning honoraria. We're saying that mandatory service expenses are required to have an invoice. We also made some substantial changes to how budget requests are to be made and how honorarium are distributed. It was suggested as a general rule of thumb that if the payment for the honoraria is below minimum wage, then that is classified as a gift, but if it is above minimum wage then it should be considered as employment which VUSAC cannot pay for.

Jay: First thing I wanted to mention was that xi a) 1 is a little redundant. To speak to the general rule of thumb, I think that this is actually demanded by Canadian law; honoraria and employment income are different from one another and we cannot pay honoraria.

Equitable Hiring Policy Amendments, put forth by Tran Thai [Appendix K] (10 minutes)

Tran: This is the document that VUSAC and levies use when they are hiring positions on campus. Some of the amendments are just grammar errors but we've also said that we need to include both commuter and residence students onto the panels. We have also added a new line that says that interview questions will be altered and updated every year and will be shared with the interview panel before the interview. If you have any questions, please let me know now!

Alexandra: I think proposed amendment number 6 is really great. I was just wondering if you had a question for how many questions you would be changing.

Tran: I didn't think too much about this, but some questions are definitely important to change maybe 50% of the questions.

Alexandra: I think something should be changed and I think 50% gives a good amount of flexibility.

Nerija: I feel like having a percentage of the questions that need to be changed are always going to be a bit arbitrary. I feel like if you say scenario and problem-based questions are what need to be changed.

Rheannon: I think 50% is a good amount. I think the one thing that would be good to implement is that any questions that inform certain qualities necessary for a role, those should be changed.

Tabina: There is always a way to make questions more general for applicants and you'll probably still get the same kind of responses that you're looking for.

Tran: Okay so I'm going to add in a friendly amendment that reads "includes changing any questions that can be further tailored to the position that reflects the role and situational scenario questions". What do you think of that?

Rheannon: My only concern would be is that you're really weeding out people who don't have experience in these roles and make it super obvious who has experience and who doesn't.

Tran: Do you have another way that you want me to reword it?

Rheannon: I think the only thing is that we want to tailor questions to benefit the interviewers.

Tran: We'll change it to include more specific questions for the positions and making sure that the questions are changed.

ASSESSOR MEMBER REPORTS:

Vic UTSU Directors (Ava & Radhika):

Orientation Co-Chairs:

Victoria College Dons:

Constituent Organizations:

Cat's Eye: no report

Acta Victoriana: no report

Caffiends: no report

Student Projects: no report

The Strand: no report

VicXposure: no report

VicPride!: no report

VISA: no report

VCAA: no report

VCDS: no report

WUSC: no report

STAFF REPORTS:

Chief Returning Officer (Tabina):

Communications (Veronica):

Finance (Faye):

Office Manager (Holly):

Chair (Zoe):

COMMISSION REPORTS:

Academic (Nerija & Cathy): 2 minutes

Nerija: We had our program roundtables today which went really well! We had a lot of good feedback from first years. The Grad Chair hiring closes tonight so if you know anyone who is interested in applying, please apply or get your friends to apply.

Rheannon: I know that some students wanted to reach out to some of the humanities students and was wondering if those emails had been sent out yet?

Nerija: Yes, they were!

Arts & Culture (Jenny):

Equity (Lulu & Sooyeon):

Mental Wellness (Dorian & Rachel): 3 minutes

Rachel: The resource survey closes tonight so please fill those out if you have any suggestions! Also, our words of VicCouragement campaign closes tomorrow.

Scarlet & Gold (Fatima): 2 minutes

Fatima: The Highball themed scavenger hunt was originally scheduled for March but we're likely going to move it into April. If you have any suggestions about things we can do for this event, please let me know!

Sustainability (Leila & Jerico): 5 minutes

Leila: Once council policies amendments are passed, we will have language within the responsibilities of the Sustainability Commissioner to hire two student representatives to the sustainability committee on a two-year term, and that language is also going to be embedded into the terms and references of the Sustainability Commission as well. The only other programming we are running this year is food workshops which are fun little videos on our social media pages. Lastly, I just held the final STRG sustainability meeting and we laid out a plan for the goals that we want to achieve next year and put in measures to make sure that the meetings continue next year

Commuter (Rheannon & Aya):

EXECUTIVE REPORTS:

VP External (Mehr):

Mehr: Crescam nominations were submitted, and we had a ton of nominations. We chose 8 candidates as winners and we will be having a ceremony on April 5th to celebrate the winners and student leadership in general. The Dean's Advisory Committee is coming up next week and they have a tentative suggestion for how to incorporate the strategic plan into their activities. On the 22nd, we have an event coming up with the Commuter Dons and we're doing a Spring equinox event which should be lots of fun. I am also trying to centralize all of the Caucus notes submitted by clubs and levies to help the VPSO and future council members.

VP Internal (Tran): 3 minutes

Tran: Transition reports are due Thursday, April 8th. If you need an extension, please let me know! We are in the midst of ordering council sweaters and those orders will be coming up in the future so keep an ear out for that.

Rheannon: I'm wondering if we'll be receiving the sweaters for last year.

Tran: They are done actually, we'll let you know what's happened with that!

VP Student Organizations (Jay): 5 minutes

Jay: I hosted the All-Clubs meeting a while ago and there was lots of good discussion based on that. I've been working on creating an inventory system for next year's VPSO and Office Manager.

President (Michelle): 10 minutes

Michelle: We have fundraised over \$17k for the BIPOC student award and we haven't even started phase 2 of the fundraiser yet. Please share this information with anyone you know! If we don't meet the \$25k goal this year, the award will still be there are people can continue to contribute to this award. Phase 2 of the fundraiser is just about to begin so please promote this among your friends! We are running out of sizes really quickly so please keep an eye out for that. I am meeting with President Robbins, the Office of the Vice Provost and the SGRT soon so please let me know if you want me to bring anything up then. We are hosting a meet-n-greet with Nick Saul next Thursday March 25th 1-2pm and we really encourage you to come! Nick is really committed to listening to students so that's awesome.

Tran: I wanted to encourage you all to vote in the UTSU elections which are happening right now!

Motion by Rheannon to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Maggie. Motion passes.

- Favour: all
- Opposed: none
- Abstained: none

Meeting adjourned at 8:15 PM.