



150 CHARLES ST WEST
TORONTO, ON M5S 1K9
CANADA
T 416-585-4473
F 416-585-4462
WWW.VUSAC.CA

VUSAC Meeting Minutes

Friday February 26th 2016 at 6:00PM in the Goldring Student Center
MINUTES

In Attendance

VUSAC

Judiciary

CO-PRESIDENTS

Benjamin Atkins and Gabriel Zoltan-Johan

VP EXTERNAL

Alex Martinborough

VP INTERNAL

Rahul Christofferson

VP STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS

Lucinda Qu

Assessor Members

CAMPUS LIFE COORDINATOR

Bergita Petro

CHAIR

Emily Dyer

CHIEF RETURNING OFFICER

Saambavi Mano

FINANCE

Shannon Brown

SECRETARY

Golda Greenspoon

UTSU DIRECTORS

Auni Ahsan

Stephen Warner

Commissioners & Councillors

ARTS & CULTURE

Sumeeta Farrukh

COMMUTER

Anna Pozdniakova

EQUITY

Claire Wilkins

SCARLET & GOLD

Stuart Norton

SUSTAINABILITY

Leila Atri

ACADEMIC & PROFESSIONAL

DEVELOPMENT

Michelle Vacarciuc

COUNCILLORS

William Cuddy

Peter Huycke

George Wilson

Carl Abrahamsen

George Wilson

Seraphina Vasilodimitrakis-Hart

Hannah Brennen

Miranda Alksnis

LEVIES
CAT'S EYE
STUDENT PROJECTS

Clement Cheng

Thomas Lynch
 Enxhi Kondi

GUESTS
 UC Lit
 UTSU
 Reid Dukell
 Savah Harrison

Amanda *
 Robert Boissonneault

Not In Attendance

OFFICIAL REGRETS
COMMUNICATIONS COORDINATOR

Alice Sluchenkov

- Land recognition - Chair
- Emily: I would like to acknowledge this sacred land on which the University of Toronto operates. It has been a site of human activity for fifteen thousand years. This land is the territory of the Huron-Wendat, and Petun First Nations, the Seneca, and most recently the Mississaugas of the Credit River. The territory was the subject of the Dish With One Spoon Wampum Belt Covenant, an agreement between the Iroquois Confederacy and the Confederacy of the Ojibwe to peaceably share and care for the nations around the great lake. Today the meeting place of Toronto is still the home to many indigenous people from across Turtle Island and we are grateful to have the opportunity to meet in the community on this territory.

Leila moves to add one minute after the Scarlet and Gold Update. Stuart seconds. Motion passes, all in favour.

Gabriel moves to add three minutes to discussion item on levy increases and move this to the discussion section of the agenda. Rahul seconds. Motion passes, all in favour.

Alex moves to give Auni two minutes for a health and dental update. Lucinda seconds. Motion passes unanimously.

Lucinda moves to reduce her section to ten minutes for levy updates and remove the time for constitutional amendments. Leila seconds. Motion passes unanimously.

Benjamin moves to move SGSU bylaw draft discussion item to the first discussion item. Stuart seconds. Motion passes, two opposed.

Anna moves to approve the agenda. Hannah seconds. Motion passes unanimously.

- CLC - Update

- Bergita: Hi everyone, good to see you, you're almost there. We have the learning strategist there for you. I know that many of you are reaching out. We also have the personal counsellor and the career educator. Exec applications closed last Friday and we have a great group to choose from. Leader applications continue to be interested for those who might be interested. There is the Silver V award for grads, you can nominate for these awards. Class officers are another award, graduating students, you can nominate for this too. And senior sticks for those who lead at convocation. These applications are available on our website and they are very brief. I wanted to mention, re the alcohol policy, I brought feedback to Kelly and she's thankful and grateful for that. She's interested in making the process easier, she's looking into next steps. We are connecting with events Victoria to make things easier.
- Auni -health and dental update
 - Auni: As I talked about before there was the mess with the deficit and now we're looking to change providers, to Desjardins. We will streamline dental services, and there's also the possibility of subsidizing psychotherapy treatment at the university.
- Cat's Eye
 - Thomas: I just wanted to give an update of what we're working on. This Thursday we will have our Throwback Thursday Pub Night, it should be fun. We are having movie Mondays on Monday nights, starting on the seventh. On Thursday after the pub night there's the next cat's eye concert. Monday after that we are having a movie night of Batman vs. Superman. On the 18th we're having a games night, it'll be in the afternoon and evening. On the 31st we are going to have pub night, which should be a lot of fun. On April 8th we're having another cat's eye concert, working on finalizing the details for that.
- Co Manager Applications
 - Thomas: For co manager applications, they will come out on the first and due on the 18th, interviews on the 21st and 22nd. We will hopefully choose by the last VUSAC meeting.
- Enxhi - Student Projects
 - Enxhi: One of the projects was for Vic Exposure to take film photos at highball, we're giving them \$290. Other is for a jazz trio at highball, going to be \$411.12. The last is to fund the unseen fees from the venue, we are giving them 9000, it will be conditional on whether or not VUSAC has a surplus.
 - Gabriel: For surpluses under 5000 would student projects just take that?
 - Enxhi: Yes. I just want to make sure we're not surpassing what's in the account. We could also talk about this condition later.

Anna moves to omnibus these projects. Benjamin seconds. Motion passes, two abstentions.

Anna moves to approve these projects. George seconds. Motion passes, four abstentions.

- Enxhi: There will be one more deadline for student projects, midnight on March 9th. These can be for September things.

- Gabriel: Why is it that the head of student projects can't have access to the amount in the account?
 - Enxhi: Her role is the accounting side so she gets the information and then passes that over to me. I did calculate from what we did in September though and with that we would have 20 000 left, there might be a confusing part though.
- Spring elections - Saambavi
- Saambavi: Spring elections are coming up. I know generally we wait until the nominations period to pick an ACRO but I've picked Seraphina, because I know she's not running. Nomination packages are going out on the seventh. Seraphina will be there to make sure things are running smoothly. Campaign period will be from the 14th to the 18th. Town Hall will be in NF003. The voting period will be from the 19th to the 23rd. Nomination packages are ready, we just need to make a few changes.
 - George: I know in the fall the package described groups like levy heads as assessor members, has that been changed?
 - Saambavi: I believe so.
- SGSU Draft Bylaws Consultation and Discussion - Benjamin and Gabriel

Gabriel moves to extend speaking rights to non VCU members in the room. Alex seconds. Motion passes unanimously.

- Gabriel: I am sure this is a dead horse topic for everyone, but we finally have a fully composed set of by laws for this SGSU. These by laws were a product of not just this year's admin but as far back as two years ago, with the student societies summit. The fruit of this year's labours comes in the form of this package. If you've read over this doc and know the UTSU's bylaws, you'll know that they are fairly similar. Part of that is due to NFP corporation laws. Regardless of your opinion of UTSU current affairs, this is still an enormously important thing to look at. We can't simply believe or suggest that the UTSU's administration will always be so kind to us. We should have a specific union that represents us.
- Benjamin: The process was that last year the SGSU was incorporated, the point was to create a St George only representative government. The St George round table has been working on this and other college heads too. From October until late January, a committee has met and taken by laws given by legal council into a document that reflects campus and also a document that is legal and fair. This SGSU, the point of it is to introduce representative democracy here. Out of these meetings we would like to hear your input, we're not going to try to spring a motion on you for endorsement. We want to take your feedback and refine these bylaws and eventually have them voted on and ratified. We're not going to be organizing a campaign or anything for this, we just want to present this to you and hear feedback.
- Gabriel: We have four key aspects of the bylaws, the first is the representative structure of the by laws. The first one is the representative structure of the by laws. Essentially the

representative structure goes as such The executive of the structure, that is elected in an at large fashion, directly elected to that position. Board members are internally elected, and elected by their respective colleges and faculty.

- Benjamin: We can also discuss positions of title, there are six positions w the exec title. They report to the Board of Directors, the board is the higher structure. These people are elected, directors are elected internally. There is an at large members group, because not all student societies are represented in the SGRT. There is this group for students who aren't in these specific membership groups. Removal of appointee, execs can be removed by a board vote and appointees can be removed by recognized student groups or by petitions.
- Gabriel: Membership class definition, it is the same concept of the SGRT, with student groups on it and recognized groups can be added to the UTSU.
- Benjamin: You will notice that there are some aspects not in here. For example, a list of subcommittees, they should be in a governance manual, which we want to create after this.
- Peter: What are the objectives of the SGSU?
- William: Provided with these by laws but I think that part is really important, how is that a good idea?
- Amanda (UC Lit Pres): Our general goals are to ensure that there is a group that solely represents the desire of St George students. We don't have that right now. It is an opportunity to bring our campus together in unity, running events, activism, etc. It would be about collaboration between student societies. Those are the general objectives. To have a union free of influence from other student unions. We would also like to co exist with the UTSU.
- Gabriel: The objectives of the SGSU in collaborations with various student organizations to one, represent students, two to lobby for students, three to present and represent those students, four to provide students with a democratic structure that is proportionately representative, and five to ***.
- George: With respect to section 8 subsection 18, records, I think it's fair to say that a popular complaint about this is that it's secretive. The record section is very short and vague. I was wondering if that's something that will be followed or
- Benjamin: We don't want to make our records inaccessible, we will incorporate that.
- Anna: In response to Amanda, I don't fully understand how UTSU works, but I see it that UTM is literally removed from campus but is still U of T and as such it has it's own needs that need to be addressed. Given that UTSU is right there and we are right here I don't see a massive need for it
- Robert: The point made about the UTSU is that students at UTM are members of both unions, the argument is that while UTM students have two student unions, St George only has one, that they share.
- Enxhi: Kudos to everyone working on this. My questions are for future presidents, how do you know they're going to want to continue with this next year. How will they feel to keep working on it? For who's voting and who removes people, it's great that the SGSU can't

remove people just on their own but there might be room for issues with that. I wonder where the funding is coming from for this, I know students won't want to pay into this other thing. I want to address what the point of all of this. This does seem like you guys have taken what the critiques of the UTSU are and fixed them and made this. I wonder if the UTSU could make similar changes.

- Amanda: In terms of funding, we are looking at creating an agreement with the UTSU, with funds allotted to us, our first step would be to sign an agreement with them.
- Benjamin: The removal thing is tricky, because it's a legal requirement that councils do this.
- William: For the initial source of funding, isn't that at the mercy of the UTSU's particular government? Also there's the issue of further funding once things get started.
- Stuart: I wanted to touch on the absence on the absence of academic presence. Is there overlap with the UTSU and ASSU? It seems like they have similar goals, is it repetitive? It's unclear.
- George: For section on representation, it states that two directors will be given to student groups, the number of members seems like a huge range. Only three student societies fit into this, Vic, Innis, and Trin, doesn't seem to be proportional representation.
- Benjamin: That is totally valid, if you'd like I'll mark that down.
- Auni: I would like to expel the idea that the UTSU is run by external groups. I really don't want this to be called a consultation meeting when the by laws were sent three days ago, for 25 pages.
- Benjamin: That's a very fair point, unfortunately this process has been messed up.

Alex moves to extend by ten minutes. William seconds. Motion passes, six opposed.

- Alex: I find it unusual that our presidents have voted against extending. Is this supporting by laws or the SGSU itself?
- Gabriel: I think we can always have more consultation. We will obviously come back to this. As for the discussion on whether it's even worth having I think that's something we should discuss later on.

William moves to extend speaking time to one minute. Peter seconds. Motion passes.

- Stephen: Comparing SGSU to the other campuses. Impossible to do w scarborough. UTM takes a lot of SGSU's money. To say we can operate on the same model is confusing. We don't know if we can use a levy and if not we don't know how we can take from the UTSU. The whole thing seems overly complicated.
- Miranda: In my opinion the SGSU doesn't reduce factions we're familiar with, it reproduces it here. We could have two resentful groups meant to represent the same groups. Point about geography is really important. The UTMSU is different, because they're not here.

- Peter: The presidents have been very externally focused. For the past month or so we had been hearing that we would get these by laws. It seems that you guys are asking for consultation and then going on to work on it more again, not internally focused VUSAC presidents
- Enxhi: The tension between UTM and St George won't be solved with this. The UTMSU council is essentially like a college council, UTMSU might not be very different from VUSAC or something like that.
- Alex: I think that we've touched on a lot of the weaknesses that can be seen in the bylaws but I think we also need to make sure that we keep going through the by laws keeping in mind that this is an ongoing process.
- Gabriel: I want to respond to Peter. I think that's a little disingenuous to suggest.
- Amanda: In terms of internal focus, that is definitely the job of presidents to focus internally but also to represent vic at u of t. For SGSU and UTSU working together I think that's a conversation that needs to take place.

William moves to prohibit Co presidents from continuing to work on this bylaws.

- Rahul: I think that's both ridiculous and not even possible to make constitutionally binding.
 - Emily: I don't think we can prevent people doing work on something outside of VUSAC.
 - Michelle: I think we need to have more consultations after this, perhaps themed consultations.
- Response to refugee crisis
- Cricket: So far I believe the presidents have struck and ad hoc committee, we are currently looking for members for that. 1000 Syrian refugees will be settling in the GTA over the next two years. The first action here has been creation of an ideas for the world session for refugees. The second is that we will sponsor at least one refugees. I think that it's a shame that Vic has not been one of the first colleges to get more involved with this. This is a bit difficult because settling refugees is very intensive. Our response should go with size and ability, looking at sponsorship, events, and connecting vic students with individual volunteer opportunities.
 - Bergita: I just thought we should acknowledge efforts of individual students.
 - Cricket: Does VUSAC feel as though we should pursue sponsorship? We would need \$30 000, would take at least a year. It's a big chunk to take on.
 - Leila: With the sponsorship we could take it on like that or we could also just raise money.
 - Claire: I think an important step was forming the ad hoc committee, maybe next steps would be actually structuring a committee for this.
 - Enxhi: I would really encourage you to seek support for this outside of VUSAC, because people here are so busy. I want to caution you in saying that Vic needs to do more, I think there a lot of students here who have already done a lot of stuff.

- Benjamin: Cricket and I talked this week about a possible committee structure, we suggested who could sit on the committee. We have laid out possible membership.
 - Bergita: I would just say that you might want to include both faculty and staff member. You were talking about providing support and I think you should look at frameworks that already exist
 - Stuart: Would ideas be what you spoke about?
 - Bergita: It would be a different thing. I am surprised a president is on this committee. I think this is something that should be outside of VUSAC maybe.
 - Anna: I happened to sit in on a meeting some time ago, there are people on VUSAC who are interested in it.
 - Benjamin: Gabe and I are both pretty passionate about the issue, it's Cricket's call.
 - William: In terms of the ad hoc committee I think there need to be students from Vic at large.
 - Leila: an we describe what an ad hoc committee is?
 - Emily: In the constitution.
- Highball Longevity and Discussion on the Future
- Stuart: This is on the agenda because this is an important discussion to have. It is important for us as a current council to discuss the future of highball, not necessarily looking for an answer, just looking for feedback and input. This is important because highball takes up so much of the VUSAC budget. We subsidize tickets for more than 50 % often. It;s the reality of having an event like this. Furthermore, we really wanted to make this larger and more accessible. We've tried to take the best of both worlds. There's another discussion on levy augmentation, but this still really can't happen at this scale in future years. This event is incredibly unsustainable. Financially we really need to discuss the future.
 - Anna: As someone who was ridiculously angry with highball last year, my frustrations with highball is that the expectations are never ending at this point. It's not sustainable to respond to infinite requests.
 - Miranda: I am curious as to whether or not there's model for a slightly more working structure.
 - Rahul: I would suggest that we expand old vic to be the size of UC and have as many students of UC.
 - Gabriel: We actually historically spend the least of every college on our formal. Those sorts of steps are very difficult to take.
 - Miranda: Anyone know the extend that other colleges subsidize?
 - Auni: I think UC's fireball budget is about half of their budget. A lot of colleges put massive amounts into their formals. Do we want to spread this budget out or focus on one event.

- Benjamin: I just wanted to say that if we want this event to draw members of our community then there is no way we can keep things the way they are. Something needs to be done in regards to our financial situation. It's just not fair for Stu to go around looking for money.
 - George: This is a problem we've been coming to terms with over the past few months, looking at costs. I see that the scarlet and gold commission has been doing more and more every year, taking the same budget and trying to stretch it farther. If we want to continue highball like this we have to give enough money to it. Or we decide to have a highball that is smaller.
 - Bergita: I wanted to mention that ten years ago highball cost pretty much the same as it does now, and prices have changed. Tickets still cost the same amount as they did then, and that doesn't really make sense. Hart house could also host Highball, making things a lot more affordable
 - Enxhi: Highball is interesting because it feels like in one year it's in old vic and another year you get it really big. I would say that either levy's going to increase or things will have to change.
 - Alex: I think this year is a very interesting one to look at over all. We had expanded roles for each of the commissioners. There's always pressure on S & G to make the event bigger and better than before. That creates a certain amount of pressure and tension.
 - Shannon: I just wanted to give some financial context. While VCU fees look really big, less than 40 % of what Vic students pay go to VUSAC. If you wanted to offset the costs of this highball raising the levy would be \$7 for each student.
 - Anna: I think we should also talk about what should be expected from the Scarlet and Gold Commission.
 - William: Our levy increases don't necessarily end up contributing that much. You have to figure out how to make this work with a lot of complications.
 - Enxhi: Stu, this is his second year and I remember you saying you had so many ideas with your first year of experience, not everyone will have that
- Levy fee increase referendum
- Gabriel: Going along this line of financial thought we get to an interesting subject. As far back as I can see the VUSAC levy, a levy that encompasses vusac and all other levy receiving groups. This levy to my knowledge has not been increased since the 2007, 2008 year. Accounting for inflation and CPI, we should be collecting 116.2% of the current fees we are collecting. All levies at vic are chronically underfunded. You may see this in various ways. This leaves us with two options. One, to keep status quo and work with some really weird sense of fiscal conservatism or two we increase the levy fee in a sustainable way. All other fees at vic are tied to some sort of percentage, either with CPI or higher education price index. VUSAC doesn't do this in any form. Some other student unions do do this, UC does. I would highly recommend that we have a similar levy increase that operates on a yearly basis .We would like to have a referendum question in the spring election to tie our fees to a price index, to the CPI plus two percent. The value is flexible and can be changed.

Through our calculations with the bursar that is the most sustainable way to increase fees for students.

- Shannon: I just want to dispel the notion that I was involved in this. You didn't meet with me. I think the problem with that is that it doesn't address the problem of the fact that it doesn't really increase our funding by much. What I was thinking was that we have something like an initial bump and then tie it to CPI.
 - Stephen: Are you suggesting that the referendum question would be a price index allowance plus two percent or an immediate bump of 2 percent plus CPI?
 - Gabriel: Its' tying it to CPI for every year plus two percent.
 - Benjamin: It is not sustainable to have VUSAC levy at 1.5% when things like food are at 8%.
 - Stephen: Is the question asking a guarantee CPI plus two percent or simply the ability?
 - Gabriel: That's up for discussion.
 - Gabriel moves to extend by five minutes. Alex seconds. Motion passes, one opposed, two abstentions.
 - Gabriel: We have to look at two things with a levy increase. I highly doubt that people will vote for a 7-8 dollar fee bump, if that gets voted down we get nothing. The other thing I want to discuss is how this percentage actually compounds. Even though it's two dollars next year, it will scale up, and this will allow us to catch up with things.
 - Auni: Are you planning to approve a question today?
 - Gabriel: No.
 - Auni: The UTSU fee itself might be tied to CPI every year however health and dental for example is ten percent, they can vote to raise different things by different amounts. I am very pleased to increase any kind of budget increase.
 - Bergtia: I just wanted to mention that you also should look to external funds, like the webster fund, or other things.
 - Leila: I was going to suggest that this get written down, also I feel like it's weird that Shannon wasn't involved.
- Highball
- Stuart: It's happening next week. We've changed quite a few things this year, we've got online ticketing. We are pretty much sold out with everything. This has been very stressful but there has been a lot of good progress.
 - Claire: Is there anything we can do to help you?
 - Stuart: I don't think so, but thank you.
 - Leila: I was going to suggest that you outline all that in your transition.
 - Stephen: It's really good to see engaged discussion on these issue and acknowledge the really hard work everyone has put into this.

- Stuart: I want to give a huge thank you to my committee and Anna for doing online ticketing.
- Leila - Sustainability
 - Leila: Next Wednesday we are holding an event called Sustainable life Hacks. We will have four stations, smoothies, toothpaste making, paper towel folding, and a sort your sh*t station.
 - Anna: can we please make to discuss that? That would take up a lot of space.
- Lucinda
 - Strand: Pitch window for our Spring magazine, 'Women', closed on Sunday. We got 30 pitches and chose 18. Mostly vic students pitched. The submissions count is the highest we've ever had for a pitch, which is great. Next steps from here in terms of developing the mag initiative (and just in general for the paper) is to get more involvement from the St. George campus at large. The year's been very good in terms of getting our finances in order and so we're brainstorming ways we can expand the Strand's reach, presence and purpose going forward. Tuesday saw another issue on newsstands, with our annual "Date the Strand" feature. It is funny. We've been giving our first-year Editorial Assistants assignments through the year for them to explore their writing and production abilities and figure out what they like best; for this issue we had them go review a Winterlicious meal and learn to write a food review. Our mag writers are currently working on their pieces and production is going to be a week from now. After that we have 2 issues left in the year. VCDS: Useless Superhero: It wrapped up very nicely the week before reading week, and had a good reception at the drama festival. Rent: Lots of rehearsals now, and working on building the set. Everything is going well and we're keeping ahead of any problems that may arise during tech week. We will soon begin advertising, probably in the next couple of days. VCDS Gala: Our gala will be April 9th in the Cat's Eye. It had been booked, but we need to go through the process of opening the bar, which we will begin doing next week. We have yet to plan when our elections and show submissions will be but will plan that in the next couple of weeks. Victoria: "The Victoriad is nearly done for the year! We had a drop-in photoshoot yesterday and had the perfect amount of people that attended to finish a beautiful spread for the yearbook, so thank you for everyone that dropped in! We also finally had the chance yesterday to put up posters advertising our recruitment period. All of the positions for next year's staff are open! The positions: Editors-in-Chief, Associate Editors, Photo Editor(s), Photographers, Design Editor(s), and Designers! Please visit our website at www.thevictoriad.com for details. The deadline for exec applications is March 4 while the deadline for non-exec applications is March 18. We're also reserving yearbooks at the moment! Only students graduating this year can reserve a yearbook from now until March 25, thereafter any Vic student can reserve a yearbook. We'll be distributing yearbooks starting on the day of the Lawn Party, so reserve your copy! (We only have 37 copies left!)". Caffiends: Hosted and participated in a meeting with UofT's Food Group Collective; liaised and networked with food-related student groups on campus, Catered VOCA pancakes. Catered VicXposure Photoshop Workshop, Catered VUSAC's Out of Office Office Hours Accountability Cafe, Planning a clothing swap in collaboration with the Vic Commuter Dons as an EcoFest event, Selected 6 new pieces of student art to display in the cafe, Catered Acappellooza for the U of T A Cappella Coalition, Friday February 26th is our first volunteer social of the year

- VP External
 - Alex: Caucus is on Wednesday, there will be small discussion groups and at seven o'clock we will get started on the more regular part of the meeting. For submitting items, if you have something you'd like to talk about, talk to me, because we want a meeting that isn't too long but that is informative. Crescam nominations are due on March 7th. Try to get those in sooner rather than later.
 - Student Experience Survey
 - Alex: We will be meeting next week to finalize questions on our end of this. I will be holding a meeting on Monday at seven to talk about this. People are welcome to participate with google hangouts or skip.
 - William: How is meeting with other external councils going?
 - Alex: I've decided it's really important to focus on our relationship with trinity.
 - Rahul: I heard that in your commitment you have also reached to professional faculties
 - Alex: We did go to the pit. Auni and I have also taken on the role of CRO and DRO for the Computer Science Students Union.
- President
 - Updates
 - Gabriel: We just wanted to talk about a discussion we had on a Provostial committee to create an appeals board for student societies, to respond to instances of corruption and things like that, after the process of appeals and review have been exhausted. We got to have a hand in discussing that and will share more after this meeting. Next thing is that we've been invited to a post offer reception on Thursday march 10th, 5-7 pm in Alumni Hall we have a table reserved for VUSAC. Next thing is the college life committee, we finally have a meeting for this next Monday, the topics we will be discussing are the review of the alcohol policy and indigenous studies requirement. I highly suggest that if you want to contribute that you send statements to myself or others on the committee. The final thing is concerts, we're having Good Kid in March. The April one is a surprise.
 - Benjamin: This past week and over email I've been meeting with Carl to talk about the Victoria University Act, tying into board work i've been doing. We are looking at updating the act, with some things being very archaic. There is a committee to update committee structure too. I am on those committees and am looking forward to the future with that. We met with the bursar and the dean about raising our levy, and they are both very sympathetic. I met with Stuart about highball funding and that's looking like its at least partially funded.

Gabriel moves to adjourn. Anna seconds. Motion passes unanimously

Meeting is adjourned at 8:27 p.m.